

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE | | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. 2374 | | |
|-----------------------------|------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| 10/617,234 | | 07/10/2003 | Steven Ausnit | ITW-14081 | | | |
| 44702 | 7590 | 01/10/2006 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| | | NG FLAHERTY E, SUITE 825 | HUYNH, LOUIS K | | | | |
| NEW YOR | | • | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | | |
| | · | | | 3721 | 3721 | | |
| | | | DATE MAIL ED: 01/10/2006 | | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Appl | Application No. Applicant(s) | | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--|---|--------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|--------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | | 17,234 | , | AUSNIT ET AL. | | | | | |
| | | | niner | 7 | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | | Louis | K. Huynh | | 3721 | | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communicator Reply | ion appears o | n the cover sheet v | with the co | rrespondence ad | ddress | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1) 🔀 | Responsive to communication(s) filed o | n <i>25 Novemb</i> | er 2005. | | | • | | | | |
| • | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | | | | | |
| ′= | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | | | | |
| , | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>1-51</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-40 and 51</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | | |
| · — | Claim(s) 41-50 is/are rejected. | | | | | | | | | |
| - | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | | | |
| - | Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | | | |
| | on Papers | | | | | | | | | |
| _ | · | | | | | | | | | |
| · | The specification is objected to by the Ex | _ | | | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ | 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 10 July 2003 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the | | • | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Priority u | inder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | | | |
| a)[| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | | |
| 2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inform | t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO r No(s)/Mail Date 7/10/03 & 7/21/04. | | | o(s)/Mail Date Informal Pate | | O-152) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/617,234

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election of Group II, claims 32-50, in the reply filed on 11/25/2005 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). The restriction requirement between Groups I and II is deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.
- Claims 1-31 have been withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.
- 3. Further restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - IIA. Claims 32-40, drawn to a method of assembling zipper to a folded web, classified in class 493, subclass 393.
 - IIB. Claims 41-50, drawn to a method of manufacturing a reclosable bag having tamper-evident feature, classified in class 493, subclass 213.
- 4. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions IIA and IIB are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation. For example, invention IIA requires that the opposing portion of the zipper must be engaged to each other during the process and invention IIB requires that the zipper strips are

Art Unit: 3721

separated and are joined together after the zipper strips had been sealed to respective opposing portion of the film material; thus, inventions IIA and IIB are not capable of use together and they have different modes of operation.

- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, the search required for Group IIA may not be required for Group IIB, and because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. During a telephone conversation with Mr. Dennis Flaherty (Reg. No. 31,159) on December 08, 2005 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group IIB, claims 41-50. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action.
- Claims 32-40 have been withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 7. CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention(s), the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure. 9. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should

Art Unit: 3721

be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 11. Claim 41, 42 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bois et al. (US 6,609,827).

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

With respect to claims 41 and 44, Bois discloses a method of manufacturing a reclosable bag of FIG. 11 which includes the steps of joining opposing portions (16 & 18) of a film material to respective back of a first and second flangeless zipper strips (20 & 22), aligning the first and second flangeless zipper strips (20 & 22), mounting a slider (50) such that the opposing portions (16 & 18) of the film material are disposed between respective side walls of the slide (50) and

Art Unit: 3721

respective backs of the first and second flangeless zipper strips (20 & 22), and sealing the film material to form a bag with a tamper-evident feature (19) (col. 6, line 52 - col. 7, line 39).

With respect to claim 42, the invention of Bois is to prevent the bag from leaking and the bag of Bois can only be filled through its bottom; therefore, the bag must be filled prior to joining the portions (14) of the film material opposing the zipper strips; hence, the filling step.

With respect to claim 43, Bois discloses in FIG. 2 a leak proof formed by two opposing beads (104 & 106) that can seal the bag and can be peeled for access to the interior of the bag; therefore, the leak proof is considered to be equivalent to the claimed peel seal.

Allowable Subject Matter

12. Claims 45-50 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure has been cited on form PTO-892 along with the applied references.
- 14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Louis K. Huynh whose telephone number is 571-272-4462. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9:30AM to 5:00PM.
- 15. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi I. Rada can be reached on 571-272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/617,234 Page 6

Art Unit: 3721

16. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Louis K. Huynh 'Primary Examiner

Louis L. Hugh

Art Unit 3721

December 29, 2005